

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/270,639	03/15/99	FERGUSON		Т	
Г		PM82/0526	コ	EXAMINER	
THOMAS W FEF	RGUSON	FM02/0326		ZANELL:	[. M
54861 HIGH F				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BELLAIRE OH	43906			3661	3
				DATE MAILED	05/26/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No. Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	Examiner 7 100 Group Art Unit 366/
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address
eriod for Response	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SIAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE
from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by de	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a response be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTH s, a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely efault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. I, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
tatus	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/15/	79
☐ This action is FINAL .	, ,
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance excep accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 193	ot for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 35 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
isposition of Claims	
Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s) 1-6	is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
☐ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election
pplication Papers	requirement.
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawir	ng Roviow, RTO 048
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The drawing(s) filed onis/are object	**
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. 	
-	f the priority documents have been per)
 □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numbers) 	f the priority documents have been per) ternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
 □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numb □ received in this national stage application from the Int *Certified copies not received: 	f the priority documents have been per) ternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
 □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numb □ received in this national stage application from the Int *Certified copies not received: 	f the priority documents have been per) ternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
 □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numb □ received in this national stage application from the International Stage application from the Internation from the Internation from the Int	f the priority documents have been oer) ternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). No(s)
□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numb □ received in this national stage application from the Int *Certified copies not received: **Attachment(s) **Dinformation Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	f the priority documents have been oer) ternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). No(s) Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numb □ received in this national stage application from the Int *Certified copies not received: **Certified copies not received: **Attachment(s) **Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 **Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	f the priority documents have been oer) ternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). No(s) Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application has been examined. Claims 1-6 are pending.

- 2. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed. Applicant should note the deficiencies indicated on the enclosed Form PTO-948.
- 3. The IDS filed 3/15/99 has been considered.
- 4. Claim 5 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim, or amend the claim to place the claim in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim in independent form. Claim 5 depends from both claim 3 and claim 4. Claims may depend from a previous claim(s) in the alternative only. Applicant may wish to rewrite claim 5 as an independent claim including all of the limitations set forth in claims 3 and 4. For the purpose of further examination on the merits, claim 5 will be interpreted in this manner.
- 5. Claims 1-6 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - A. As per claim 1, the claim should be written as a single sentence, beginning with a capital letter and ending in a period. Applicant may overcome the above deficiency by changing "A" at line 4 to --a-- and changing the period at line 7 to a comma. Also note "chache" should be --cache-- and "date" should be --data--.
 - B. As per claims 3 and 4, insert --further-- before "consisting" since the claims further define the subject matter of claim 1.

Art Unit: 3661

C. As per claims 3 and 5, the claims recite a "magnetometer" for providing vehicle direction; however, the written description and drawings merely disclose "an electronic compass".

- D. As per claim 6, at line 3 change "the" to --a-- as well the second and third occurrences at line 4. Also at line 4 "mean" should be --means--.
- E. All claims depending from an objected base claim are also objected to as containing the same deficiencies.
- 6. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - A. As per claims 1-6 in general, it is unclear whether applicant is claiming an apparatus or a method.
 - B. As per claim 1, the claim is indefinite in scope because it recites both a broad limitation ("various vehicle systems") followed by a narrower limitation ("such as in one preferred embodiment consisting of ..."). It is unclear if the claim is to be limited to the preferred embodiment. At lines 10-11 "the re-write cycle" lacks antecedence. Further, "up to 30 days or more" is an indefinite range. It is unclear whether 30 days is the minimum value or is included in a range starting from 0 days to infinity (i.e., "or more").
 - C. As per claim 2, "the host vehicle electrical system" lacks antecedence.

Art Unit: 3661

D. As per claim 3, applicant is suggested to change "direction" to --heading-- to support language used in dependent claim 5. Applicant can also change "heading" (each occurrence) to --direction-- in claim 5 to overcome the deficiency.

- E. As per claim 4, "the host platform or vehicle" lacks antecedence.
- F. As per claim 6, the claim is unclear which memory (short term, cache, or non-volatile) is being activated by the power source as claimed.
- G. All claims depending from a rejected base claim are also rejected as containing the same deficiencies.
- 7. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, <u>or</u> composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 8. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the applicant claims more than one statutory class of invention in a single claim.
 - A. Claims 1-6 are directed to a method <u>and</u> apparatus. As can best be determined, claim 1 is the only independent claim from which claims 2-6 depend. As highlighted above in the statutory language, a claim for an invention may only cover a single class of invention. If applicant wishes to claim his invention as encompassing an apparatus and a method of using that apparatus, then separate independent claims should be submitted (one apparatus, one method). Each independent claim could then be further defined with appropriate apparatus and method dependent claims.

Art Unit: 3661

9. The examiner conducted a search of the most relevant prior art based on his best interpretation of the claims when viewed in light of the whole application. It appears that the multistage memory scheme used to record vehicle operating parameters during an accident trigger event is not taught or reasonably suggested by the prior art. To the contrary, the prior art appears to only retain data immediately before, during, and after a collision. Data collected outside these time periods are normally overwritten in a cyclic fashion. Applicant's invention appears to transfer the data to another memory device (i.e., cache) instead of overwriting previous data. Applicant further provides a non-volatile memory to store the contents of the cache in a more permanent manner (i.e., 30 days).

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited documents represent the general state of the vehicle crash recorder art. These references tend to use storing schemes of the overwriting-type in which data is retained only after an event (i.e., accident) has occurred by halting the overwriting of previously stored data.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Michael Zanelli** whose telephone number is **(703) 305-9756** (M-Th, 6:30-5:00 PM).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

/mjz

May 24, 2000

MICHAEL LZANELLI PRIMARY EXAMINER